

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,945	09/19/2003	Daniel S. Eichorn	DP-308644	7095
22851	7590 01/14/2005		EXAM	INER
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			VU, HIEN D	
M/C 480-410- PO BOX 5052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/666,945	EICHORN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hien D. Vu	2833				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply		correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tileply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dained will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	October 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 20-25 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-19 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 20-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	wwn from consideration. for election requirement. her. eccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	, .					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/18/03. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2833

م ا

1. Applicant's election of species 1, figs. 1-4, claims 1-11 and 20-25, in paper dated 10/4/04 is acknowledged.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

3. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 20-22, 24 & 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCoy et al.

The disclosure of McCoy provides a complete response to each and every element set forth in the claims. For example, figs. 2, 3, 6-9 show a circuit board substrate 20 having a first surface and a second surface, a though hole 34, a first conductive pad disposed on the first surface, a conductive terminal 31 having a first end and a second end exposed from the first and second surfaces and a conductive bonding agent 57.

As to claim 2, a conductive plating in the through hole and being electrically connected with the bonding agent.

As to claim 3, a bottom conductive pad is read as the recited second conductive pad.

As to claim 4, the conductive bonding agent comprising solder.

As to claim 5, a dielectric housing 21 having a body with at least one stand off 39 mounted on the first surface.

As to claims 7-10, the features of these claims are substantially similar as claims 1-5, therefore they are rejected under the similar rationale.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,945 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

As to claims 20-22 and 24-25, the claims recite method steps substantially corresponding to the connector of claims 1-5, therefore, they are rejected under the similar rationale.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6, 11 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCoy et al.

In absence of any showing of criticality by the applicant, to form the first and second ends to be extended at least 2mm beyond the first and second surface of the circuit board would have been an obvious of modification since such change solves no stated problem.

As to claim 23, the step of placing a stencil over the through hole prior to the step of placing a conductive bonding agent is old and well known in the art as disclosed in the specification, therefore it would have been obvious to use such step in the connector of McCoy, in order to achieve better connection for the conductive terminal.

- 7. Lovendusky, Kirayoglu, Kosayanagi et al, Seideler and Vicich et al are cited for disclosure of electrical connector having pins extending into a circuit board.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Hein D. Vu at telephone number (571) 272-2016.

Vu/ds

Page 4

01/04/05

HIEN VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

-Him Clu